ACCREDITATION STUDY WORK GROUP June 16-17, 2004

WHAT IS THE THING THAT YOU WOULD MOST WANT THIS REVIEW TO ADDRESS

- Better templates for Self Study
- Better templates for document to COA
- How we use quantifiable data, what data, role of data, intelligent use of data, use of qualitative with quantitative data
- Models of best practices
- National accreditation
- Relationship with NCATE
- Accreditation in other professions
- Stipulations, revisits, sanctions (what is bad enough, are sanctions used effectively)
- Accreditation as a framework for program improvement. How does it contribute to our understanding of effectiveness in programs? How does it inform practice?
- What is accreditation and what is its purpose
- Impact of candidate assessment to inform accreditation
- More teachers represented in process, participation and inclusion of K-12, articulation between K-12/higher ed.
- Unit accreditation versus program approval
- Historical perspective versus snapshot approach (use of past accreditation findings, follow up reports)
- Ensuring balance, bring process current
- · Adequate funding of accreditation
- Link to Student Achievement, can it be done?
- Self study reports can they be done differently?
- Training and education of reviewers
- Public Perception about accreditation
- Focus on outcomes and effectiveness
- More diverse visit teams
- Shift from "factors to consider" to "required elements"
- Time lag between visits
- Have changes in recent years been positive?

WHAT IS THE ONE THING THAT YOU WANT TO **MAINTAIN**

- Peer Review (noted by many)
- Site visit (noted by many)
- Self Study
- Concept of mixed teams
- Inclusion of qualitative data
- Professional nature of review
- Professional decision-making
- Sanctions